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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to a class of fourth-order uniformly elliptic equations posed by Schaefer in 1987. We obtain maximum principles for certain functions, which are defined on solutions of the elliptic equations. The principles are then used to deduce some bounds on important quantities in the physical problems of interest.


PACS numbers: 02.30.Jr, 02.60.Lj

## 1. Introduction

In 1972, Dunninger [1] first obtained a maximum principle for a fourth-order elliptic equation. This showed that any nonconstant solution $u$ of

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\triangle^{2} u+c u=0 & c>0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset R^{n} \\
\triangle u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega &
\end{array}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
|u(x)| \leqslant\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

for some point $x_{0}$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$, where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian, $\Delta^{2}=\Delta(\Delta)$.
Later, several authors extended this work (see, for example, [2-5] etc). In 1987, Schaefer [6] found that the function $V(x)$ of the solution $u \in C^{4}(\Omega)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} u+g(x, u, \Delta u)+p(x) f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

under some assumptions satisfies the inequality

$$
V(x) \leqslant V\left(x_{0}\right) \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

for some point $x_{0}$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$, where

$$
V(x):=u_{, i} u_{, i}+\gamma(\Delta u)^{2}+2 \gamma p(x) \int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

In [6], Schaefer posed an open problem of whether there are analogous results when $\Delta u$ is replaced by uniformly elliptic operator $L u=a_{i j} u_{, i j}$ in equation (*). For the following equation

$$
L^{2} u+g(x, u, L u)+p(x) f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

the usual methods are infeasible, owing to the higher order and nonconstant coefficients $a_{i j}$, so the problem has so far remained open.

In this paper, the problem is resolved completely. Here, the function $V(x)$ takes the form

$$
V(x):=u_{, i} u_{, i}+\gamma(L u)^{2}+2 \gamma p(x) \int_{0}^{u} f(s) \mathrm{d} s .
$$

We show that $V(x)$ satisfies the maximum principle. In the process of computing $L V$, it is difficult to deal with the term of $a_{i j} u_{, i k} u_{, j k}-a_{i j, k} u_{, k} u_{, i j}$. Compared with the case $a_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ in [1], it is more complicated in the case $a_{i j} \neq \delta_{i j}$. However, the difficulty is properly solved by using the matrix technique. Our main results are presented in theorems 1 and 2 of section 2. In section 3, we deduce certain bounds for quantities of interest in some physical problems, such as, the solution of the equation, gradient of the solution and so on.

For simplicity, we use the summation convention and denote partial derivatives $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}$ by $u_{, i}$ and $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$ by $u_{, i j}$.

## 2. Maximum principles

Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2} u+g(x, u, L u)+p(x) f(u)=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a nonempty bounded open domain in $R^{n}, L u:=a_{i j} u_{, i j}$ is a uniformly elliptic operator and $a_{i j}(x)=a_{j i}(x)$, and $a_{i j} \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. We assume that the coefficient functions $p>0, p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $g \in C(R \times R \times \bar{\Omega})$, and functions $f$ and $g$ satisfy the requirements

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(s)>0 \quad \operatorname{sg}(x, t, s) \leqslant 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.1. Function without gradient term

Letting $u \in C^{4}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of equation (2.1), we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x):=(L u)^{2}+2 p(x) F(u) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$.
Theorem 1. Letting $u \in C^{4}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of equation (1), where $p(x) \in$ $C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $f(u) \in C^{1}(R), p(x)>0$ and $L p^{-1} \leqslant 0, f^{\prime}(u)>0, F(u) \geqslant 0$ and $2 F(u) F^{\prime \prime}(u)-\left[F^{\prime}(u)\right]^{2} \geqslant 0$, then $g$ satisfies equation (2) and $V(x)$ defined in equation (3) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x) \leqslant V\left(x_{0}\right) \quad x \in \bar{\Omega} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is some point on $\partial \Omega$.
Proof. By a straightforward computation of the uniformly elliptic operator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V=2 a_{k l}(L u)_{, k}(L u)_{, l}+2(L u)\left(L^{2} u\right)+2(L p) F+2 f\left(a_{k l} p_{, k} u_{, l}+a_{k l} u_{, k} p_{, l}\right) \\
&+2 p f(L u)+2 p f^{\prime}\left(a_{k l} u_{, k} u_{, l}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, from equations (1) and (5) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V=2 a_{k l}(L u)_{, k}(L u)_{, l}-2(L u) g(x, u, L u)+2 p f^{\prime}\left[a_{k l}\left(u_{, k}+\frac{f p_{, k}}{p f^{\prime}}\right)\left(u_{, l}+\frac{f p_{, l}}{p f^{\prime}}\right)\right] \\
&  \tag{6}\\
& +2(L p) F-\frac{2 f^{2}}{p f^{\prime}}\left(a_{k l} p_{, k} p_{, l}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

From $p>0, L p^{-1} \leqslant 0$, i.e. $p(L p)-2 a_{k l} p_{, k} p_{, l} \geqslant 0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L p) F-\frac{f^{2}}{p f^{\prime}}\left(a_{k l} p_{, k} p_{, l}\right) \geqslant \frac{a_{k l} p_{, k} p_{, l}}{p f^{\prime}}\left(2 F F^{\prime \prime}-F^{\prime 2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (6) and (7) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V \geqslant 2\left[a_{k l}(L u)_{, k}(L u)_{, l}-(L u) g(x, u, L u)+p f^{\prime} a_{k l}\left(u_{, k}+\frac{f p_{, k}}{p f^{\prime}}\right)\left(u_{, l}+\frac{f p_{, l}}{p f^{\prime}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{a_{k l} p_{, k} p_{, l}}{p f^{\prime}}\left(2 F F^{\prime \prime}-F^{\prime 2}\right)\right] . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The uniform ellipticity of the operator $L$ and the assumptions of theorem 1 guarantee that the right-hand side of equation (8) is non-negative. Thus, $L V \geqslant 0$ and the conclusion (4) follows immediately from Hopf's first maximum principle.

### 2.2. Function with gradient term

Letting $u \in C^{4}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of equation (1), we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x):=|\nabla u|^{2}+\gamma(L u)^{2}+2 \gamma p(x) F(u) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a positive constant to be chosen and $F(u)$ is a primitive function of the function $f$, i.e. $F(u)=\int_{0}^{u} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$.

We deduce the maximum principles of the functional $V(x)$ now given by equation (9).
Analogous with the proof of theorem 1, by a straightforward calculation, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
L V=2 a_{i j} u_{, i k} u_{, j k}+2 u_{, k}\left(L u_{, k}\right)+2 \gamma\left[a_{i j}(L u)_{, i}(L u)_{, j}-(L u) g(x, u, L u)\right. \\
\left.+F(L p)+f a_{i j}\left(u_{, i} p_{, j}+p_{, i} u_{, j}\right)+p f^{\prime} a_{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now let $\alpha$ be any constant satisfying $p(x) \geqslant p_{0}>\alpha>0$ and $P=P(x)=p(x)-\alpha$. By changing the form of $2 u_{, k}\left(L u_{, k}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
L V=\left(2 a_{i j} u_{, i k} u_{, j k}-2 u_{, k} a_{i j, k} u_{, i j}\right)+2 u_{, k}(L u)_{, k}+2 \gamma\left[a_{i j}(L u)_{, i}(L u)_{, j}-(L u) g(x, u, L u)\right. \\
\left.+\alpha f^{\prime} a_{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j}+\left\{F(L P)+f a_{i j}\left(u_{, i} P_{, j}+P_{, i} u_{, j}\right)+P f^{\prime} a_{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j}\right\}\right] . \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

First, we handle the first item on the right-hand side of equation (11). Denoting by $\left(A_{i j}\right)$ the matrix which is the inverse of positive definite matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$, we see that, for arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix $\left(S_{p k}\right)$,

$$
a_{i j}\left(u_{, i k}+\frac{A_{i p} S_{p k}}{2}\right)\left(u_{, j k}+\frac{A_{j q} S_{q k}}{2}\right) \geqslant 0 .
$$

Therefore, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j k} u_{, j k} \geqslant-a_{i j} u_{, i k} u_{, j k}-\frac{A_{p q} S_{p k} S_{q k}}{4} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for any matrix $\left(S_{j k}\right)$. By choosing $S_{i j}=-a_{i j, k} u_{, k}$ in equation (12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} u_{, i k} u_{, j k}-a_{i j, k} u_{, k} u_{, i j} \geqslant-\frac{A_{p q} a_{p k, i} a_{q k, j} u_{, i} u_{, j}}{4} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the second term in equation (11) can be turned into

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u_{, k}(L u)_{, k}=\left|u_{, k}+(L u)_{, k}\right|^{2}-u_{, k} u_{, k}-(L u)_{, k}(L u)_{, k} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We finally complete the square in the brackets in equation (11), i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(L P)+f a_{i j}\left(u_{, i} P_{, j}+P_{, i} u_{, j}\right)+P f^{\prime} a_{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j} \\
& \quad=F(L P)+P f^{\prime} a_{i j}\left(u_{, i}+\frac{f P_{, i}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)\left(u_{, j}+\frac{f P_{, j}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{f^{2}}{P f^{\prime}} a_{i j} P_{, i} P_{, j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $f$ and $F$ satisfy the requirements

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(u) \geqslant \beta>0 \quad F(u)>0 \quad 2 F(u) F^{\prime \prime}(u)-\left[F^{\prime}(u)\right]^{2} \geqslant 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P(x)$ satisfies the requirement

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(L P)-2 a_{i j} P_{, i} P_{, j} \geqslant 0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to $L P^{-1} \leqslant 0$. Under these additional assumptions, we can write

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(L P)+f a_{i j}\left(u_{, i} P_{, j}+P_{, i} u_{, j}\right)+P f^{\prime} a_{i j} u_{, i} u_{, j} \geqslant P f^{\prime} a_{i j}\left(u_{, i}+\frac{f P_{, i}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)\left(u_{, j}+\frac{f P_{, j}}{P f^{\prime}}\right) \\
+\frac{a_{i j} P_{, i} P_{, j}}{P f^{\prime}}\left(2 F F^{\prime \prime}-F^{\prime 2}\right) \geqslant 0 . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, we obtain by equations (11), (13), (14) and (17)

$$
\begin{align*}
L V \geqslant\left(2 \gamma a_{i j}\right. & \left.-\delta_{i j}\right)(L u)_{, i}(L u)_{, j}+\left(2 \alpha \beta \gamma a_{i j}-\delta_{i j}-\frac{A_{p q} a_{p m, i} a_{q m, j}}{2}\right) u_{, i} u_{, j} \\
& +2 \gamma P f^{\prime} a_{i j}\left(u_{, i}+\frac{f P_{, i}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)\left(u_{, j}+\frac{f P_{, j}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)+2 \gamma\left(\frac{a_{i j} P_{, i} P_{, j}}{P f^{\prime}}\right)\left(2 F F^{\prime \prime}-F^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& +\left|u_{, k}+(L u)_{, k}\right|^{2}-2 \gamma(L u) g(x, u, L u) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is uniformly positive definite, the right-hand side of equation (18) is positive for a sufficiently large value of $\gamma$. This value of $\gamma$ depends only on constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and the coefficients $a_{i j}$ and their first derivatives. Hence $V(x)$ is subharmonic. In summary, we have the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $u \in C^{4}(\Omega) \cap C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of equation (1). If $a_{i j}(x) \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$, $p(x) \in C^{4}(\Omega), f(u) \in C^{1}(R)$ and the functions $p, f$ and $g$ satisfy the requirements
(i) $p(x) \geqslant p_{0}>\alpha>0$ for some constant $\alpha$, and $L P^{-1} \leqslant 0$, where $P(x)=p(x)-\alpha$;
(ii) $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(u) \geqslant \beta>0$ for some constant $\beta$, and $2 F(u) F^{\prime \prime}(u)-\left[F^{\prime}(u)\right]^{2} \geqslant 0$;
(iii) $\operatorname{sg}(x, t, s) \leqslant 0$;
then there is a positive constant $\gamma$ depending only on $\alpha, \beta, a_{i j}$ and $a_{i j, m}$ such that the function $V(x)$ given by (9) satisfies the maximum principle in $\Omega$, i.e.

$$
V(x) \leqslant V\left(x_{0}\right) \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is some point on $\partial \Omega$.

## 3. Applications: bounds for $|\nabla u|, u$ and uniformly elliptic operator $L u$

By theorem 1 one can easily obtain bounds for the uniformly elliptic operator $L u$ and the solution of (1).
Corollary 1. Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution of equation (1). Suppose that the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied and $F$ is an even function. Then

$$
|u(x)| \leqslant\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and some point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ provided $L u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $p\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant p(x)$.
Proof. In theorem 1 the subharmonic function $V(x)$ obtains its maximum at some point, say $x_{0}$ on the boundary of $\Omega$. Thus it follows that

$$
(L u(x))^{2}+2 p(x) \int_{0}^{u(x)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant\left(L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}+2 p\left(x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{u\left(x_{0}\right)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t .
$$

However, since $L u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, it yields
$2 p(x) \int_{0}^{u(x)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant(L u(x))^{2}+2 p(x) \int_{0}^{u(x)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqslant 2 p\left(x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{u\left(x_{0}\right)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t$.
In addition to $p(x) \geqslant p\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, we have

$$
|u(x)| \leqslant\left|u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, which proves the desired result.
Corollary 2. Let $u$ be a sufficiently smooth solution of equation (1). Suppose that the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied, then

$$
|L u(x)| \leqslant\left|L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and for some point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ provided $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
Proof. It follows from theorem 1 that at some point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$

$$
(L u(x))^{2}+2 p(x) F(u(x)) \leqslant\left(L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}+2 p\left(x_{0}\right) F\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right) .
$$

However, $u\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, hence $F\left(u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0$, which yields

$$
(L u(x))^{2} \leqslant(L u(x))^{2}+2 p(x) F(u(x)) \leqslant\left(L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

i.e.

$$
|L u(x)| \leqslant\left|L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. This proves the desired result.
With analogy to theorem 1, one can also obtain bounds for the uniformly elliptic operator and the solution of equation (1) from theorem 2. In particular, we can obtain the bound for the gradient of the solution (1), and the bound does not depend on the value of solution at the point in question.

Corollary 3. Let u be a sufficiently smooth solution of equation (1). Suppose that the assumptions of theorem 2 are satisfied, then there is a positive constant $\gamma=\gamma\left(\alpha, \beta, a_{i j}, a_{i j, k}\right)$ such that

$$
|\nabla u(x)|^{2} \leqslant\left|\nabla u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|^{2}+\gamma\left[L u\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{2}+2 \gamma p\left(x_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{u\left(x_{0}\right)} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$, and for some point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.
The proof of this corollary is easily achieved by theorem 2.
We have only briefly indicated how theorems 1 and 2 in section 2 can be utilized to obtain point-wise bounds. Many other similar applications of the principles in section 2 can be found in [5] and [7].
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